

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04092A000200120007-6


C

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP78-04092A000200120007-6

COMMITTEE A

1. Does the DDP recognize advantages of inter-division transfers such as cross-fertilization, sharing of hardship posts and preparation for higher responsibility? What is DDP policy towards tolerating, permitting, or encouraging such transfers? Would you care to comment further on evolving CS personnel policies?

2. With the increased interest in developing areas the relationship and relative balance between CIA's FI, CI and CA functions continue to change. Would you care to comment on the emphasis being placed on such operations particularly in function of the unknown factors of social structures?



25X1C4e

4. One of the outstanding features of the CS has been its ability to respond to crisis situations and targets of opportunity by shifting manpower, money and materiel as needed. Does the PPB approach to management control, through its categorization of activities and budgeting authority appear to endanger this responsive flexibility?

COMMITTEE B

1. Why has language training not been emphasized recently and what are plans for new program and its scope?

25X1C4e


3. Does the reports production (approximately 3600 per month) represent a fair return on the money invested?

COMMITTEE C

1. Has any consideration been given to the establishment of a DDP level group of case officers to handle large projects of interest to more than one Division? It would seem that with SR and EE having interests in WH, and WH having interests in WE - and many other combinations - that in order not to have the operation fall between stools or die because of provincial interests, it might be beneficial to the DDP to have a disinterested (Division) Branch or Section to "case officer" and see that CIA has not lost any benefits which could or should have accrued.
2. Under the Civil Service Retirement System is it likely in the Agency that the compulsory retirement ages of 65 (GS-18) and 62 (GS-17 and below) (when qualifying time has been earned) will be reduced and used as a disposal vehicle.
3. Could you comment on the relative importance of the agent-type operation vis-a-vis the exotic "black box"/scientific collection operation to the overall collection program, now and in the foreseeable future.
4. What action is being taken, or what action can be taken to counter the CIA/FBI defamation campaign of the KGB, particularly in the face of the incredible gullibility of some well-meaning and some not so well-meaning Americans?
5. Can you comment on DIA operations in the field and the coordination of such operations with CIA?

COMMITTEE D

1. Has the Director's interest in fifteen-year planning been applied to the Clandestine Services? What kind of long-range planning is being done?



25X1C4a

3. Comment on DDP efforts to collect intelligence to contribute to the solution of counterinsurgency problems in Latin America.

4. What is the policy within DDP with regard to the training and use of officers vis-a-vis the advisability of affording a varied background (geographic, cultural, operational, etc.) as opposed to developing each as an area specialist? - i.e., is it more efficient to use an officer in one area exclusively (say EE) or should he be rotated among the other areas, regardless of his language, experience, training and interest?

5. Although the RMD usually places the Soviet target in a priority position, some, and possibly a great many overseas stations do not permit the SR C/O or component to work actively against the target. Can a change be expected?

SECRET


COMMITTEE E

1. From the career development point of view, what is the DDP policy regarding geographic area specialization by an operations officer versus broad area experience?

2. There seems to have been a tendency for field stations to have developed on the basis of the availability of slots rather than strict adherence to worldwide intelligence priorities and requirements. Please comment.

3. To what extent is the DDP perhaps overextending itself and detracting from the accomplishment of its FI and CI missions through accepting too readily CA requirements, especially in the political action field?

4. In view of what appears to be an increasing number of "Task Force" situations, the personnel for which are drawn from existing Division personnel complements, what are the prospects of a significant increase in DDP personnel strength in the next five years?



25X1C10b

SECRET